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A nation of immigrants to be sure, but not just any immigrants. From the moment they managed their own affairs, . . . Americans were determined to select who might join them, and they have remained so ever since.¹

Between 1865 and 1910, southern growers and industrialists attempted to lure immigrants to the Southeast to replace Black workers and enhance industrial development, but their efforts were hampered by southerners' rejection of the immigrants who came. Most were southern and eastern Europeans whose swarthiness, Catholicism, lack of English language skills, relative poverty, lack of education, and general "otherness" led many in the south to consider them unassimilatable "scum" who would destroy southern culture and values. Anti-immigrant rhetoric and sporadic violence against immigrants drove many from the region, and in the end, few remained in the South.²

A century later, a new wave of immigrants has entered the South, most from Latin America, and southerners' reactions are much like those of their forebears. Between 1990 and 2006 the Latino population in six southern states increased by 514 percent while growing by 98 percent in the country as a whole.³ The majority of Latino immigrants are workers from Mexico and Central America who have arrived since the mid-1990s to fill jobs in the construction, manufacturing, poultry processing, landscaping, service, and agricultural industries. During the 1990s, most southerners ignored the newcomers or considered them to be a curiosity, but employers welcomed the inexpensive labor, educators and health care providers struggled to meet new demands, and churches began to organize outreach efforts and to offer English classes.

In the last few years southern attitudes toward the new immigrants, like those in many other parts of the U.S., have shifted. Today many southerners regard Latino immigrants as criminals (conflating the documented and undocumented) who burden the local economy and take jobs from native-born residents, increase crime rates, refuse to adapt to U.S. culture, carry diseases, and pose a threat to southern culture and values.

All southern states have passed legislation aimed at limiting unauthorized immigrants' access to jobs, housing, healthcare, transportation, and public benefits. Some legislation, like the "English only" laws, affects all those whose English skills are limited. Some lawmakers in the region have made it very clear that their aim is to drive unauthorized immigrants from their areas. As has been demonstrated elsewhere, such attitudes and official responses to immigrants can delay immigrants' assimilation into new settlement areas.⁴
What factors most shape public perceptions of immigrants and therefore immigration legislation in the South? I would argue that the following factors are most critical in the region.

- **Economic concerns**: After several decades of economic growth, a national economic slowdown, increased employment dislocation, growing wealth and income disparities, and a decline in the construction industry have adversely affected the region starting in the early twenty-first century. Negative attitudes toward immigrants tend to increase during periods of economic recession and when individuals or groups feel economically threatened. It should be noted, however, that the economic impact on the individual has less influence on attitudes toward immigrants than does the perception of negative economic costs associated with increased immigration.

- **Security concerns**: The events of September 11, 2001, helped shape public perceptions of the foreign-born and heightened determination to secure U.S. borders. In the Southeast, fears that our “open” borders leave us vulnerable are commonplace.

- **Pervasiveness of anti-immigrant attitudes nationwide**: Political campaigns, Internet blogs and chat rooms, and radio and TV talk shows have made immigration—particularly illegal immigration—a highly-charged national issue.

- **Inter-ethnic tensions**: Latino-African American tensions have increased, largely due to competition for jobs and housing. Blacks’ tend to perceive that immigrants depress wages and siphon off funding for social programs at the same time that frustration mounts over language barriers and other cultural differences.

- **Fear of cultural change**: The public discourse related to immigration in the Southeast reveals a widespread fear of cultural change and a perception that local cultural unity will be destroyed as result of what some call the Latino “invasion.” A Georgia resident said that immigrants “threaten everything that matters,” and worried that white southerners would soon be outnumbered and irrelevant. A South Carolina man said, “Folks who have lived here their entire lives . . . are concerned about how their community is changing, and they don’t have any control over it.” A 2001 Georgia poll revealed more anti-immigrant attitudes among longer-term residents of the state, who can be more sensitive to change and “have a more developed sense of what the surrounding community ‘ought’ to look like.”

A 2007 study by the Pew Hispanic Center reveals that an increasing proportion of recent Latino immigrants say they or someone they know has experienced discrimination, and 64 percent of Latinos surveyed said that the national debate over immigration has made life more difficult for Hispanics in the U.S. Studies have demonstrated that immigrants who are marginalized and face discrimination become acculturated at a slower rate and in a different manner than do those who are more accepted. So ironically, southerners’ practice of demonizing immigrants because they “refuse to assimilate” can contribute to delays in assimilation.
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